

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

YUNG LO,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ETT GAMING, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-cv-01887-LRH-PAL

**REPORT OF FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATION**

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's failure to comply with this court's Order (Dkt. #6) and Order to Show Cause (Dkt. #7).

On January 14, 2013, the court entered an Order (Dkt. #6) requiring Plaintiff to file a Certificate of Interested Parties in compliance with Local Rule 7.1-1 on or before January 28, 2013. The order warned the parties that their failure to comply "may result in the issuance of an order to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed." *Id.* Plaintiff had failed to file her Certificate of Interested Parties and did not request an extension of time in which to comply with the court's Order (Dkt. #6).

On February 7, 2013, the court entered an Order to Show Cause (Dkt. #7) based on Plaintiff's failure to comply with the court's previous Order (Dkt. #6). The court directed Plaintiff to show cause in writing no later than February 28, 2013, why she had not complied with the court's Order (Dkt. #6). The Order to Show Cause (Dkt. #7) advised Plaintiff that "[f]ailing to comply with the Local Rules of Practice, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the court's orders may result in sanctions, up to and including case-dispositive sanctions." Plaintiff failed to file a response to the Order to Show Cause (Dkt. #7), and she has not requested an extension of time in which to do so.

Plaintiff's willful failure to comply with the court's Orders is an abusive litigation practice that has interfered with the court's ability to hear this case, delayed litigation, disrupted the court's timely

1 management of its docket, wasted judicial resources, and threatened the integrity of the court's orders
2 and the orderly administration of justice. The Certificate of Interested Parties is required for the court
3 to assess whether a conflict of interest exists which requires the assigned judge(s) to disqualify himself
4 or herself. Sanctions less drastic than dismissal are unavailable because Plaintiffs have wilfully refused
5 to comply with multiple court Orders and the Local Rules of Practice.

6 Accordingly,

7 **IT IS RECOMMENDED** that Plaintiffs' Complaint be **DISMISSED** unless Plaintiff files the
8 Certificate of Interested Parties no later than **March 20, 2013**.

9 Dated this 6th day of March, 2013.

10
11 
12 PEGGY A. LEEN
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28